

# City Council Work Session

5:30 PM  
Council Chambers  
March 16, 2015

**ATTENDANCE:**

**Mayor/Council** (please check)    Hanel,    Cromley,    Yakawich,    Cimmino,    Pitman,  
 McFadden,    Bird,    Swanson,    McCall,    Crouch,    Brown.

**ADJOURN TIME:** 8:43 p.m.

## Agenda

<b>TOPIC #1</b>	<b>Legislative Update</b>
<b>PRESENTER</b>	Ed Bartlett
<b>NOTES/OUTCOME</b>	

- Since last written report was presented, it was determined Local Option, LC 599, will not be introduced this session. Conversation last week led to the conclusion there was not enough time in the session to address the complex issues of the bill.
- Healthy Montana/Medicaid Expansion, introduced as HB 249, was heard in committee for more than 7 hours. At the end of the hearing, the committee approved a “do not pass” recommendation, which was accepted by the full House at end of the week. Number of other bills and hearings will be held in coming weeks on Medicaid Expansion. One is a possible compromise proposal where agreed-upon provisions from other bills are included
- SB 360, which the City and other municipalities supported, would allow local governments to control inebriation. Hearing was today with Brent Brooks, Lisa Harmon, two downtown officers, the League of Cities, City of Missoula and others testifying. Committee will decide on bill late this week. Considerable concern from committee whether giving cities authority would put more people in jail without strict parameters. Some discussion about whether it should be amended. Started in Senate the end of February and was not amended. If amended and passed by the House, it would go back to the Senate.
- HB 512, Dale Mortenson’s bill to approve and fund a crime lab in Yellowstone County, will be heard Tuesday in committee. Several people, including someone from the AG’s office, will support.
- Rep. Court’s bill prohibiting cell phone use while driving was approved last week after being amended to limit it only to texting. Will be heard by the Senate next week.
- HB5 was split into a number of bills, six of which were heard last week and HB5 is being heard now in Appropriations.
- Still discussion on revenue estimates on which Legislature will base budget. The committee has held hearings and meetings, but came to no conclusion. A reconciliation

of difference between the Legislature's and the Governor's expected revenues will be necessary to create a balanced budget.

- Questions?
- Yakawich: HB 463 by McCarthy would revise civil asset forfeiture laws? Explain?  
Bartlett: Complicated bill, Zolnikov is supporter. They believe state and local governments should not have right to asset forfeitures, primarily in drug cases, until there is conviction. It passed House end of February and was heard March 13 in Senate Judiciary, with no action taken. Most local governments do not support because civil forfeiture acts have worked with or without conviction. Forfeiture would not apply to drugs, but would to cash, vehicles, etc. There is a court proceeding that ends in forfeiture if the court approves it.
- Cimmino: LC 0599 now dead? Not pursuing it any longer? Bartlett: Not this session. Cimmino: What would prevent City from putting it on the ballot? Bartlett: Local government does not have the authority absent approval by state. Cimmino: Were there sponsors identified? Bartlett: Final sponsors, no, but there was some interest at the beginning of the session. Never quite got that.
- Crouch: Reappraisal bill still possible? Bartlett: Yes, changing cycle from six to two years. Amended March 12 in Senate taxation and passed, not yet set for hearing. Amendments changed reappraised classes, not 2-year cycle.
- Yakawich: For LC 599 how can we make a better strategy and prepare ahead last session? Felt started at end, rather than beginning. When come back, address how can handle sooner than later. Bartlett: Delighted to do but all the matters worked on this session will be helpful moving forward. Seeking a local option tax has been priority for a number of sessions. Learn something every time.

	<b>TOPIC #2</b>	<b>Bicycle Pedestrian Advisory Committee Annual Report</b>	
	<b>PRESENTER</b>	Jeffrey Butts, Alternative Modes Coordinator	
	<b>NOTES/OUTCOME</b>		

- Bicycle and Pedestrian Committee membership presented.
- Ed Gulick, Committee Chair, presents 2014 report:
- Members all have interest or expertise in alternative transportation. Interface between general public and governing bodies. Chair Doug Enderson, Vice Chair Kristi Drake, members Don Vanica, Diedre Schafnitz, Christopher Cook, Jessica Leuthold.
- Goal is to compile data to ensure adequate signage, identify sustainable funding sources, support increased bike/ped use, prioritize connectivity routes and promote respect between bicyclists and drivers.
- Safety is #1 concern, especially Downtown and in Heights. Hear from public that Downtown is not accessible and is an issue.

- Heights highlighted during summer challenge. Heard from RiverStone employees in Heights who could not bicycle.
- Health, promoting exercise
- Quality of life: homeowners prefer trails and ability to get to town and school. Also helpful for vibrant downtown and park use.
- Economic vitality: Attracting young professionals and families requires safe places to bike and walk. Billings is named trailhead, need to access trails from downtown hotels, yet difficult.
- Ongoing discussion items include downtown sharrows. Bird: What is sharrow? Gulick: Shared lane marking when there is not enough room for bike lane. Cimmino: Example of Lewis Avenue? Gulick: Yes, sharrows on several roads. MDT is redoing Montana Avenue and 27<sup>th</sup>, to Riverfront/Hwy. 3 corridor. Chamber is trying to complete cycling route.
- Trail counters and data collection show more people on trails, need to confirm more in streets.
- Focusing on I-90 Bridge Project.
- Also thoughts on PCC on Billings Bypass Project. Pitman: Thoughts on Bypass? Gulick: Not yet, but as design professional, there is a way to design without increased costs and need plan for future.
- McFadden: People out on west end can ride on sidewalk, but not downtown. How come cops can? Gulick: Exception. McFadden: Would bring forward initiative to allow other riders on sidewalk. On Lewis Avenue sharrows, bicyclists veered and could have been hit, need to tell bicyclists to keep selves safe. Gulick: Can't speak on behalf of committee on bicycles on sidewalks, but think also need to worry about peds. McFadden: Less danger from bike/ped than with car. Offers again to bring forward. Gulick: Will consider.
- Need for education and awareness, all modes need to be responsible.
- Obstructions on bike/ped paths have reminded City how deal with gravel etc.
- Accomplishments: Share road signs placed on Gardner Avenue; Bicycle detections at existing traffic signals; Have recommended bicycle detection at Poly and Zimmerman. Would answer questions.
- Swanson: How does group interact with Complete Streets? Gulick: Advise Governing bodies. Number of transportation advocates, including health care, were involved in Complete Streets. Interested in implementation. Swanson: Need communication. Gulick: Providing recommendations on how implement, no separate Complete Streets Committee.
- Crouch: Familiar with Robert E. Lee Bridge in Va., which puts bikes below traffic?
- Yakawich: Rides, sees gravel, explain how that works. Don't want City spend more money. Gulick: Other cities use street sweepers on way elsewhere. Yakawich: On Lewis between Division and 8<sup>th</sup>, road is narrow, residents say dangerous and traffic wiping off marking, so waste of money. Where is common sense? Gulick: Need to make bicycling easier. North 30<sup>th</sup>, which he takes every day, is easy. Others are more challenging.

- **McFadden:** When Sanderson Stewart showed Hwy. 3, said would need bike trail but also on roads. Answer was fulltime bike riders don't like to share. What are risks of bike theft? **Gulick:** Used to be volunteer bike registration, but stopped because not much outcome. There is a national database called Bike Index, PD aware and hoping PD is helping recover bikes. **McFadden:** Someone developmentally challenged had bike stolen from his work, pooled resources to replace. **Kristi Drake:** Chains should be replaced by U-bolt.
- **Brown:** Never supporter bikes Downtown because don't know there is safe way to make happen. Is there a plan? Certain avenues that could be used rather than any street in town. **Gulick:** No plan, some streets better than others. Some other cities have set up special streets and improved downtown, but challenge and all stakeholders need agreement. See 2nd and 3rd Avenues as more appropriate than 1<sup>st</sup>, Montana or 6<sup>th</sup> unless there is separated bikeway. Looking for connections Heights to Downtown, could be road diet, where take foot out of each lane, will get to options. **Brown:** Love to see plan everyone could get behind. Does feel like trying to stripe every street could get hard. **Drake:** Bike lane trail & site master plan was approved by City Council in 2011. **Brown, Yakawich and Swanson** want one – send by e-mail.
- **Yakawich:** Going back to Lewis, busier than heck but no traffic one block over. Common sense could be more involved in whole policy and he would be in support.
- **Cimmino:** Isn't master plan an addendum to Heritage Trail Plan? **Jeff:** In same way long range transportation plan changed every four years, update, this is the latest addition to Heritage Trail Plan. It takes goals and expands upon them. **Cimmino:** Because of plan, coordinated efforts to become committee? **Butts:** Came out of community desire to have formal entity to bring forward plans for discussion.
- **McFadden:** Interested in Crouch's comments on bridge being retrofitted with bike/ped path at fraction of costs. How much would it cost make 220 foot long bridge wider? Bike-ped addition might be a cost-effective answer.
- **Bird:** Important to clarify just because City had bike lanes or sharrows, if you don't want ride down Lewis, don't. City has miles and miles of streets. Don't need to limit to certain streets. Question about bike master plan. Like idea of 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> Ave South area going to develop. If see it develop, would be natural place for people to walk and shop, might need have trail go over rail lines. Did master plan address that? **Gulick:** Believe in there, get to issue of branding as City. If stay in downtown hotel no connection to river or Rims, one piece to make it work. When travelling, he rents bikes and uses them to travel as many other millennials do.
- **Pitman:** Hot topic with lot of questions. Conversations happening in community. Important, shows need for conversation, can't throw something in without dialogue. **Swanson:** Constituent gave Complete Street report. Way coordinate? **Tina:** In infancy.
- **Public comment:** None.

<b>TOPIC #3</b>	<b>Home Center</b>
<b>PRESENTER</b>	<b>Ryan Auer, Campaign Chair for Home Center</b>
<b>NOTES/OUTCOME</b>	

- Home matters, it is where people recharge. Home is where jobs go to sleep at night. Support fair housing. People seeking rentals or home go many places. One-stop shop, agencies including HRDC, Beartooth RC&D, HomeWorks, Housing Authority, City and NeighborWorks of Montana will work together on promoting information, fair housing and rentals. Will collaborate to create more quality work force housing. Also prepare buyers for better financial decisions and how to find place to live. Home Center raised \$52,000 for 2015, and for three years. Got building, soft opening soon. Need fulltime intake specialist to refer people. Asking \$20k year from City over next three years. Ask to place on agenda for future.
- City Administrator Christina Volek: Council Contingency Balance is \$47,753. If desire to use for this project, resolution needs to be put on agenda.
- Cromley: Non-profit? Auer: Yes: Cromley: Concern with all other agencies, pick and choose one over other non-profit, difficult decision to make. Invite proposals from all other organizations? Auer: Would be willing enter into competition of that sort. Believe program will lead to established citizens, continuity of investment and people who give back and will support other non-profits.
- Swanson: Functions mentioned being fulfilled in private industry. Passed by them? Auer: Lot of people been on board from beginning. How can we make sure customers give back? People who support will provide an educational accreditation to show that they have gone through training and use as another tool. Work closely with, not in opposition, to private industry.
- Amber Hofferer: Not doing anything done by private industry. Many programs scattered and people may not know what eligible for – first time homebuyer association. Example is it takes 18 months education and three years to make people mortgage ready. 43% Montanans have liquid asset poverty, no money for down payment. Lots of renters have no history; if they can show they are educated, they can be better renters. Pre-purchase education reduces by 30% likelihood of failure. This is resource – HUD guideline do not allowed steering of clients. Auer: Lot of organizations say other good credit counselors charge monthly fee.
- Yakawich: Great idea consolidating. If eight organizations, not counting City, give \$3k, then wouldn't need money from City. Wouldn't it make sense to take money from them?
- Mary Lou Affleck: Employee of NeighborWorks. NeighborWorks Great Falls removed blight in city, provided tools, very successful. Hired VISTA employee to create strategic plan and creating two surveys, one of homeowners and one of renters. Come to conclusion need to model Great Falls so provide services
- Bird: What this group is asking for is three years of seed money so it can have 1-stop shop for people in community who need assistance with renting, whether landlord, first-time buyer, rent, so primary and focus on shared resources. Some seed money from infrastructure. Intended to make things easier for housing consumer. Auer: Services are out there, first-time home buyer counseling only available limited times, goal to have someone available 8 a.m. to 5 p.m., Monday through Friday.
- Bird: When partners come together, goal is to have one person responsible at that place, but contributions from others great. Lending available, resources and staff. Partners aren't getting off scott free. Organizations supported by grant funding must use it for that funding. Need to keep in mind in-kind contributions, collaborative effort. Is that vision

of what group is attempting to do? Auer: Accurate picture. Continue to reach out to those partners, always part of core groups.

- McFadden: Was the Veterans Administration involved? Affleck: Have a program set up for veterans. McFadden: Association of Realtors involved? Auer: Yes, work with those who may not qualify. McFadden: Believe lot of people who renting could buy for same money? Auer: Definitely. McFadden: Being rental referral agency doesn't do any good, putting people in homes is better.
- Brown: Looking at \$60k, how many homeowners could be created as result of combination? Auer: Based off Great Falls model, accounting difference, want at least 100 new homeowners.
- Crouch: Besides families being helped, how banks and Realtors helped? Auer: Western Security , First Interstate and NeighborWorks America have helped. Wanted to see some progress – reach out again on fundraiser.
- Cimmino – Raised \$92k over 23 years – should be 3? Auer: Yes. Cimmino: City gave \$250k—how did you get number? Affleck – partner with Community Development, budget prepared. Cimmino: 501C3—could apply for grants. Affleck: NeighborWorks doing business as Home Center.
- Bird: Citizens planning to serve – why should consider consolidation? Affleck: Obstacles to housing, rents high, Auer: Funds important to get people to next level. Bird: Key word is process. Know how hard get paperwork together when buy home. If not experienced, assumption is large part of service will be how to work through stacks of paperwork. Auer: Insurmountable task
- Swanson: Over time, how financially support organization? Affleck: Annual fundraising campaign, grants, NeighborWorks provide \$45k.
- Pitman: Like more information on NeighborWorks Great Falls. Council only could guarantee this year. Can be nerve racking if expecting and not get.
- Cromley: Could guarantee \$60k over three years? Volek: With contract.
- Yakawich: Get asked by task forces and Community Development Leadership. If not working, something broken, reluctant to throw money at it. Have lot resources, \$20k more each year seems lot.
- Cimmino: Not contributed already? Volek describes program. Cimmino: Addition to what already supported.
- Swanson: Optimistic about program, can do better than Great Falls.
- Bird: Ask Brenda up. Cannot believe nickle and diming investment in community When Council can't spend its contingency every year. Asks Brenda as City expert on community housing on why this is something Council could consider, especially when City has taken drastic hits in \$\$ for first-time homebuyers. Not approving same amount as in previous years. Beckett: City required to fund 2 studies: Analysis of impediments to housing, including steering to specific neighborhoods, On website. Also talked about income disparity. One way to treat is to celebrate each neighborhood for its merits and qualities so high-income residents move into lower income neighborhood, and minorities into more white neighborhoods. Centers are across nation, less than 1% vacancy in rental market, spoiled, and housing development and vacancy has not kept up. Do not have one-stop for rentals, homes, downsizing, reverse mortgages, why would support? This was primary recommendation of analysis to increase access all housing.

- Bird: What are challenges in housing for people with limited means? When FIB built, controversial because eliminating housing for people with limited means. Have 130 more people who are homeless, HUD funds gone from \$1.3M to \$800K. Trying to make something to carry on,
- Pitman: Lots of info, need to do investigation and get back.
- Ulvested: Timing not right. Citizens going through safety levy, all schools bonds lobbyist said local option done until next year. Billings growing 2%, have to get more revenue for City. Using money from Council Contingency is not right. Don't have anything left, taxpayers are burdened. Should come as initiative later.

<b>TOPIC #4</b>	<b>WWTP/CIP Questions</b>
<b>PRESENTER</b>	<b>Dave Mumford</b>
<b>NOTES/OUTCOME</b>	

- Remiss because discussed new wastewater treatment plant at so many public meetings, but many on Council don't know where project started and how it got here. Improvements response to six and half years ago, when state began to change requirements for nutrients. Adopted most restrictive standards in US. DEQ Director told League meeting he would move toward spending \$200-300M in next 20 years to meet nutrient standards. League asked Dave to chair committee to look at Billings and other cities affected. Worked with refineries and state over 5 years to meet mandates.
- Billings plant originally constructed 1948. In 1970s, State paid for 75% of wastewater upgrades, pays for none now.
- Original structures at Billings plant are marked on map in yellow.
- Nutrients – nitrogen and phosphorous are nutrients that cause algae and are being targeted in clean-up.
- Billings recently got 5-year State discharge permit – reduce ammonia and have 4 of 5 years to meet standards. Could not meet now, could be significant fine per day if required to do so. New standards set .3 and .03
- Would go over plant capacity in next few years. Other issues need be addressed, including odor, corrosion, electrical replacement.
- Bird: Is odor harmful to people? Mumford: No indications it is harmful to people. Bird: Noxious at times?. Mumford: That can be difficult. In 1948, plant was tucked away, but no wind over it to remove odors. Bird: Odor wafts over Metra, EBIRD, places want people be? Mumford: Yes, and nearby trail can be difficult to travel.
- Mumford: Going to 10 and 1 in current plant. Great Falls, Bozeman and Kalispell similar to what building in smaller scale. Have to reach lower limits by 2028, will cost \$12 M. In 2038 would be \$250M to meet reverse osmosis standards. Difficult to get down to appropriate nitrogen and phosphorus levels, would produce water better drinking water.
- Pitman: Toilet to tap when? Mumford: Need to look at irrigation or something other than river as place to put waste water effluent.

- Bird: What is toilet to tap? Mumford: LA already retests, polishes and sends wastewater back into system. Bird: Why? Mumford: Water becoming more valuable than oil. Pitman: Does not make sense to put it into river? Mumford: Prohibitive cost-wise. Feds said before improvements on original variance, would have to have wastewater rates of over \$100 mo before costs would be found to be hardship to residents.
- Project purpose also to expand capacity, etc.
- Shows costs: odor control \$8M , Bonding \$6m. Slow increments to get to this. Not asking more money this year, already in rates. Passed in and held water rates at 0 for several years. This year will see minimum wastewater and depending on rate study, slight water increase.
- Shows concept of what being planned:
  - Thought be \$59-60M project.
  - McFadden: Do people using nitrogen on lawns add to problem? Mumford: Yes. McFadden: Not putting chlorine in water? Mumford: Not at wastewater, do put in at water plant but working get rid of over next two years. McFadden: Always have chlorinated water to drink? Mumford: Some.
- When engineering, found more expensive than thought. If did whole new plant at site, would be \$350M, so upgrading as much of existing plant as can. No room on site to construct while build and be creating 18-20 million gallons of wastewater per day.
- Pitman: Talked about west end plant. When shelve and do this one? Mumford: Future. Still need upgrade current plant. State law says can't depredate water like Yellowstone, would have to land applicate 5-6M gallons a day. Single line needs to be added to so redundant. Viable, but not phase 1 option. Bird: How much land to appropriately develop west end plan? Mumford: Thousands of acres with land applications or lagoons. Positive for ground water discharge as ditches dry up. Houses will be around them. Bird: Plant in Heights considered? Mumford: Looking integrated storm, water, wastewater for future discussion this summer. High quality package plant in Heights would extend life of existing plant. Sindilar family has large gravel pit with only few years left, could create large lake, go into 5-mile, could swim and fish similar to recreational area. Very preliminary discussion, would divert wastewater out of current plant. Heights fastest growing area in city, could be significant option. Possible secondary system for irrigation using ditchwater. Could save water rights issues. Do need make additional long-term choices. Shows needs for 2028. Ostara removes nitrogen at high level, creating long-term release fertilizer, producing revenues in coop with private corporation. Definitely in phase II. Nitrogen limited in world.
- Shows 2028 and 2038 improvements. Maxed out land, would have look at alternatives for Heights and west end. Bird: How much land maxing out? How big is current parcel? Mumford Leased from County, doesn't know exact amount, fairly large. Allowed only one discharge point. League working with state because cities growing because of Balken,. Would help us also to look at non-degradation issue. Have \$1M in FY' 17 and \$2 million in FY 18 from CIP. Ciphon/main interceptor. Biggest improvement ever done state of Montana, so until bid, won't know if bids are accurate. Hope coming in low.
- Crouch: What do we do with nitrogen now? Mumford: Take to landfill, can't save at this point. With processes, long-term can get out. Crouch: Men's urinals used to take



gallon of water to flush, now quart, almost nothing at Library. Work with architects?  
 Mumford: National requirements. Working with North Western Energy (?) to encourage low-water washing equipment. Have be careful it does not get so low can't move system.

- Bird: What is quality of drinking water? Mumford: Very high, based on national standards. Bird: Compared other cities? Mumford: High end. River hard to treat out of and water quality fluctuates over year. Required under Federal law to report annually.
- Yakawich: Main interceptor? Mumford: All sewage comes into 60 inch pipe that runs to plant. All city sewage comes to single point and Lockwood also added in. Yakawich: Funnels directly? Mumford: Single large pipe. Yakawich: Store? Dave: Moves some corrosion and in 10-15 years over capacity unless go other direction. Do have repairs and cleaning pipe scheduled in CIP.
- Cimmino: Used to work for HDR since 2/1/01. Because of that, abstained from \$5M vote. Position eliminated, now able to speak. CIP described this as \$58M cost. Two years later, need new plant to meet nitrogen and phosphorus requirements for \$55M. Then CIP presenter indicated not going to be new plant, going to be expansion. How can we justify costs when redeveloping? Mumford: New system, saving what can of structure and then must operate nothing like does today, which does not meet biological nutrient system. Building \$59M improvement, with \$6 M bonding costs, not changed estimates. Building new plant doing work completely different as have before. More cost effective than using new structure. Cimmino: with that information did , should indicate only phase one in CIP. In June last year, approved resolution to bond another \$65 M project so when move forward, everyone who follows money knows we can do in phases.
- Mumford: Addressed as Phase I in community conversations. CIP is for five years, so don't id. If saying should have marked as phase I, might have given more thought. Have addressed all along as Phase I.
- Cimmino: Request e-mail with presentation.
- Heisler:: At Feb. 17 Council work session, showed number and gave Council copy of draft CIP. Had public hearing and Council action scheduled March 9. As result of question on plant itself, postponed public hearing and Council action to March 29. Time now for additional questions.
- Pitman: Phase II of IBL. Asked for state funding, would be good idea to put in CIP. Heisler: Few way to do, put in list of unfunded projects from PW and PRPL. Also could list as unfunded or with potential future funding source. If does not come to fruition, can be eliminated.
- Cimmino: 32<sup>nd</sup> and Gable traffic light included. Additional traffic at Overland and south 24<sup>th</sup> Street. Blind spot, any feasibility including in CIP? Paid through development fees. Mumford: Transtech has contributed. Signal has met warrant. Overland will be looked at in future as funding used. Vern: Intersection improvements line in CIP. If look 19-20, funding available not directed yet.
- Public comments: None

<b>TOPIC #5</b>	<b>Lillian Avenue</b>
<b>PRESENTER</b>	<b>Dave Mumford</b>

<b>NOTES/OUTCOME</b>	
----------------------	--

- County Commissioners asked City accept Lillian Lane into City for ownership. City owns land up to post office, all built to City standards. Rest is private property, owned by Phillips. It is strip of gravel on dirt. Ditch runs through there needs repairing. Recommendation not to accept. Phillips could dedicate if wanted to own. County is looking to lose because hard to maintain. Would require being built to City standards. Should be vacated. Provides secondary access, did not recommend quitting. Asking Council direction.
- County would like City to accept undeveloped. Normally quit claim deed not right of way. County would have to turn into ROW.
- Yakawich: Road not used lot. In red zone, used by companies to go to 27<sup>th</sup> St. Other road near Pizza Hut.
- McFadden: County trying to pawn off and not reason accept. Recommending against it? Mumford: Recommending against it.
- Brown: If added tract 4 need to annex? Mumford: Would, and would be required to connect to sewer because of proximity. Otherwise, end up with road in bad shape and rest of residents updating County road.
- Pitman wants to have copy of letter. In Friday packet.
- Bird: What tract 4 zoned? Mumford: Commercial but in County .
- Consensus not to proceed.
- Public Comment: None

<b>TOPIC #6</b>	<b>Council Discussion</b>
<b>PRESENTER</b>	
<b>NOTES/OUTCOME</b>	

- Pitman(?): Congratulate Skyview Falcons for basketball championship
- Pitman (?): Groundbreaking for middle school Wednesday.
- Bird: Anything in writing that identifies council contingency funds? Brooks: Charter provides annual budget approval. Not sure about code. Bird: Deja vu over NIOT –get supporting documentation might be something Council to discuss so can articulate or get on same page how this council uses. Worthy conversation. Given annually to do good works – comes up annually. Need to identify parameters. Pitman: Good discussion going into budget. Everyone spots as donation fund rather than emergencies. What should or shouldn't be used for or line items in regular budget and be eliminated.
- Yakawich: Sent memo about dynamics between County and City. Trying get handle on St. Patrick's Day, issues come to surface.
- Brown: When next BIRD presentation?
- McFadden: Pub Crawl at Cactus Creek for funding for Korean War flight.
- Public comment: None.